arXiv:1304.5268v1 [math.DG] 18 Apr 2013

Eigenvalue estimates for a class of elliptic differential operators on compact manifolds

Hilário Alencar[*], Gregório Silva Neto, Detang Zhou [†]

March 20, 2013

Abstract

The motivation of this paper is to study a second order elliptic operator which appears naturally in the studies on hypersurfaces with constant r-mean curvature and Riemannian geometry. We prove a generalized Bochner-type formula for such a kind of operators and as applications we obtain some sharp estimates for the first nonzero eigenvalues in two special cases. These results can be considered as generalizations of the Lichnerowicz-Obata Theorem.

1 Introduction

Let M^n be a Riemannian manifold and $\phi : TM \to TM$ a symmetric linear fibre transformation. For a smooth function f defined on M, S. Y. Cheng and S. T. Yau [13] introduced the following differential operator $\Box$:

$$\Box f = \operatorname{tr}(H_f \circ \phi),$$

where $\operatorname{tr} T$ denotes *trace* of the linear operator $T : TM \to TM$ and H_f is the operator associated to the Hessian of f given by

$$\operatorname{Hess}_M f(X, Y) = \langle H_f(X), Y\rangle, \forall X, Y \in TM.$$

In this paper, we prove the following new Bochner type formula.

---

[*] H. Alencar was partially supported by CNPq and Fapeal of Brazil.

[†] D. Zhou was partially supported by CNPq and Faperj of Brazil.

Theorem 1.1. *Let M^n be a Riemannian manifold and $\phi : TM \to TM$ a symmetric linear transformation satisfying* $\operatorname{div}\phi = c\nabla(\operatorname{tr}\phi)$ *for some constant $c \in \mathbb{R}$. Then for any smooth function $f : M \to \mathbb{R}$,*

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{2}\Box|\nabla f|^2 =& \langle \nabla f, \nabla(\Box f)\rangle + \langle \phi(\nabla f), \nabla(\Delta f)\rangle + 2\operatorname{tr}(H_f^2 \circ \phi) \\ &+ 2\operatorname{Ric}(\nabla f, \phi(\nabla f)) + c\operatorname{Hess}(\operatorname{tr}\phi)(\nabla f, \nabla f) - \langle (\Delta\phi)(\nabla f), \nabla f\rangle \\ &- \operatorname{div}((H_f \circ \phi)(\nabla f)),\end{aligned}$$

where $\Delta\phi$ denotes the tensor Laplacian of the linear operator ϕ and ∇f denotes the gradient *vector field of f.*

We would like to give some remarks before the applications.

Remark 1.1. *When ϕ is the identity map, then*

$$\operatorname{div}((H_f \circ \phi)(\nabla f)) = \operatorname{div}(H_f(\nabla f)) = \frac{1}{2}\operatorname{div}(\nabla|\nabla f|^2) = \frac{1}{2}\Delta|\nabla f|^2, \tag{1.1}$$

and Theorem 1.1 is exactly the Bochner formula for the Laplacian

$$\Delta|\nabla f|^2 = 2\langle \nabla f, \nabla(\Delta f)\rangle + 2|\operatorname{Hess} f|^2 + 2\operatorname{Ric}(\nabla f, \nabla f),$$

see, for example, [18].

Remark 1.2. *By the standard way, one can obtain a direct generalization of Bochner formula for the differential operator $\Box$ without assuming* $\operatorname{div}\phi = c\nabla(\operatorname{tr}\phi)$, *see Theorem 2.1. However, the terms which appear in this direct generalization are not familiar to most people and are difficult to compute in most of applications. To prove Theorem 1.1, we need a quite long computations.*

Remark 1.3. *The hypothesis* $\operatorname{div}\phi = c\nabla(\operatorname{tr}\phi)$ *in Theorem* 1.1 *above is quite natural in our applications. There are several natural linear operators in Riemannian geometry which satisfies this condition besides to those we discussed below. We will justify some more of them in Proposition 5.1.*

Of course, first application of the new Bochner formula is to recover the well-known Lichnerowicz-Obata Theorem about the first eigenvalue for the Laplacian. Before we state two more applications for second differential operators, we discuss some known properties of $\Box$.

Associated to ϕ we have the $(2,0)$ tensor, still denoted by ϕ, defined by

$$\phi(X, Y) = \langle \phi(X), Y\rangle, \forall X, Y \in TM.$$

For any point $p \in M$, we choose an orthonormal frame $\{e_1, \ldots, e_n\}$ at p and $\{\omega_1, \ldots, \omega_n\}$ its dual frame. The tensor ϕ can be expressed as $\phi = \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} \phi_{ij}\omega_i \otimes \omega_j$. So the differential operator $\Box$ can be rewritten as

$$\Box f = \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} \phi_{ij} f_{ij},$$

where f_{ij} are the components of Hessian and $\phi_{ij} = \phi(e_i, e_j)$.

Here are two basic properties of the operator $\Box$.

1) Following Cheng and Yau (Proposition 1 in [13]), we have (see also Proposition 5.2 in the Appendix),

$$\Box f = \operatorname{div}(\phi(\nabla f)) - \sum_i \left(\sum_j \phi_{ij;j} \right) f_i,$$

i.e.,

$$\Box f = \operatorname{div}(\phi(\nabla f)) - (\operatorname{div}\phi)(\nabla f) = \operatorname{div}(\phi(\nabla f)) - \langle \operatorname{div}\phi, \nabla f\rangle. \tag{1.2}$$

Here f_i denotes components of ∇f in the referential $\{e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_n\}$, i.e., $\nabla f = \sum_{i=1}^{n} f_i e_i$.

2) We say that ϕ is *divergence free* if $\operatorname{div}\phi \equiv 0$ or, equivalently, $\sum_{j=1}^{n} \phi_{ij;j} \equiv 0$, for all $1 \leq j \leq n$.

If M is compact, we know that $\Box$ is self-adjoint if and only if ϕ is divergence free (see also [13], Proposition 1). If ϕ is symmetric and positive definite, then $\Box$ is strictly elliptic. Therefore, if ϕ is divergence free, symmetric and positive definite, then $\Box$ is a strictly elliptic, and self-adjoint. Futhermore, the spectrum of $\Box$ is discrete and it makes sense to consider eigenvalues, see for example [16], p. 214.

Now let us explain the applications of Theorem 1.1 to get estimates for the first eigenvelue for two types of operators $\Box$ which arise naturally in Riemannian geometry and submanifold theory.

a) Let us denote by Ric the *Ricci tensor* of M. Namely

$$\operatorname{Ric}(X, Y) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \langle R(X, e_i)Y, e_i\rangle,$$

where $R(U, V)W = \nabla_V \nabla_U W - \nabla_U \nabla_V W + \nabla_{[U,V]} W$ is the curvature tensor of M. The *scalar curvature* R of M is defined by the trace of Ricci tensor. We will also denote by Ric the linear operator associated with the Ricci tensor, i.e., $\operatorname{Ric}(X, Y) = \langle \operatorname{Ric}(X), Y\rangle$, as well as its coordinates will be denoted by Ric_{ij}. If $\{e_1, \ldots, e_n\}$ is an orthonormal frame, the components of curvature tensor of M can be written by

$$\begin{aligned} R_{ijkl} = {} & \frac{1}{n-2} \left(\operatorname{Ric}_{ik} \delta_{jl} - \operatorname{Ric}_{il} \delta_{jk} + \operatorname{Ric}_{jl} \delta_{ik} - \operatorname{Ric}_{jk} \delta_{il} \right) \\ & - \frac{R}{(n-1)(n-2)} (\delta_{ik}\delta_{jl} - \delta_{il}\delta_{jk}) + W_{ijkl}. \end{aligned}$$

where W_{ijkl} are the components of the *Weyl tensor* W.

When $n \geq 3$, the *Schouten operator* of M is defined by

$$S = \operatorname{Ric} - \frac{R}{2(n-1)} I.$$

In this case, one can rewrite the components of curvature tensor by

$$R_{ijkl} = \frac{1}{n-2} \left(S_{ik}\delta_{jl} - S_{il}\delta_{jk} + S_{jl}\delta_{ik} - S_{jk}\delta_{il} \right) + W_{ijkl}.$$

The operator $\square_S$ is defined by

$$\square_S f = \operatorname{tr}(H_f \circ S),$$

i.e.,

$$\square_S f = \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} S_{ij} f_{ij} = \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} \left(\operatorname{Ric}_{ij} - \frac{R}{2(n-1)} \delta_{ij} \right) f_{ij}.$$

We prove (see equation (5.1), p. 27) that S is divergence free (or equivalently, $\square_S$ is self-adjoint) if and only if M has constant scalar curvature.

Definition 1.1. *A Riemannian manifold is called to have* harmonic Weyl tensor *if* $\operatorname{div} W \equiv 0$, *or equivalently, the Cotton tensor vanishes.*

In this case, the Schouten operator is a Codazzi operator, i.e., $S_{ij;k} = S_{ik;j}$. Our first application of Theorem 1.1 is Theorem 3.1 and as a special case we have the following Theorem.

Theorem 1.2. *Let $M^n, n \geq 4$ be a compact Riemannian manifold which has harmonic Weyl tensor. If M has constant scalar curvature R and the Schouten tensor is positive definite, then the first nonzero eigenvalue $\mu_1(\square_S, M)$ satisfies*

$$\mu_1(\square_S, M) \geq \frac{n-2}{2(n-1)} \left(\frac{R}{R-2r} \right) \left[r^2 - \left(\frac{R}{2(n-1)} + K_0 \right) r + \frac{1}{2} K_0 R \right], \tag{1.3}$$

where K_0 is the minimum of the sectional curvature of M, and r is the minimum of Ricci curvature.
Furthermore, the equality holds if and only if M is the round sphere $\mathbb{S}^n(K_0)$.

Remark 1.4. *Recall that a Riemannian manifold (M, g) is said* locally conformally flat *if, for any $p \in M$, there exists a neighborhood V of p and a smooth function f defined on V such that $(V, e^{2f}g)$ is flat. It is well known (cf.* [8]*, p. 60) that M^n, $n \geq 4$, is locally conformally flat if and the Weyl tensor vanishes. In* [10]*, Q.M. Cheng has proved that the only compact, connected oriented locally conformally flat $n-$dimensional Riemannian manifold with constant scalar curvature and non-negative Ricci curvature are those which are quotients of a space form or a Riemannian product $\mathbb{S}^1 \times \mathbb{S}^n(\kappa)$. On the other hand, there are many examples of compact manifolds with harmonic Weyl tensor, see, for example,* [8]*, p. 440-443.*

b) Our second application is about isometric immersions of submanifolds.

Let M^n be a n-dimensional Riemannian manifold and $x : M^n \to \overline{M}^{n+1}$ be an isometric immersion of M to $(n+1)$-dimensional Riemannian manifold. Let us denote by A the *shape operator* of the immersion. Let $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \ldots, \lambda_n$ be the eigenvalues of A, i.e. the principal curvatures of the immersion. We define the symmetric functions associated to A by

$$S_r = \sum_{i_1 < \cdots < i_r} \lambda_{i_1} \cdots \lambda_{i_r}.$$

It is well-known that when $r = 1$, $S_1 = \operatorname{tr} A =: H$ is the *non-normalized mean curvature* of x, $S_n = \det A =: K$ is the *Gauss-Kronecker curvature of* x, and when $\overline{M}$ is the Euclidean space, we have $S_2 = R$, the scalar curvature of M.

The *Newton transformations* $P_r : TM \to TM$, associated with the second fundamental form A, are indutively defined by

$$P_0 = I, P_r = S_r I - AP_{r-1} \ .$$

Let us define the differential operator L_r by

$$L_r f = \operatorname{tr}(H_f \circ P_r). \tag{1.4}$$

Note that P_r is a symmetric linear operator, since it is a polynomial of A. The operator L_r was first introduced by Voss in [28] and appears naturally in the study of variational theory for curvature functional $\mathcal{A}_r = \int_M S_r dM$, which also called $r-$area of M. See for example [23] and [7] for more details.

It has been shown by Reilly, [23], that P_r is divergence free when $\overline{M}$ is a space form of constant sectional curvature. Therefore, under these assumptions, L_r is self-adjoint.

When $r = 1$, we have

$$L_1 f = \operatorname{tr}(H_f \circ P_1). \tag{1.5}$$

The eigenvalues of L_r plays an important role in the study of stability for hypersurfaces with constant r-mean curvature (see, for examples, [1–4, 6]). In the case that $A > 0$, we have P_1 positive definite. Therefore, L_1 is an elliptic operator. We have the following first eigenvalue estimate.

Theorem 1.3. *Let $x : M^n \to \overline{M}^{n+1}(\kappa)$ be an isometric immersion of a compact Riemannian manifold into a space form of constant sectional curvature κ. Suppose that shape operator A satisfies*

$$0 < \alpha I \leq A \leq a\alpha I,$$

where $\alpha > 0$ and $a > 1$ are constants. Then

1) when $\kappa > 0$, the first nonzero eigenvalue $\mu(L_1, M)$ of operator L_1 satisfies

$$\mu(L_1, M) \geq \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{na}{na-1}\right)\left[2(n-1)\alpha^3(n-a^2) + 2\kappa(n-1)^2\alpha - \sigma\right],$$

and

2) when $\kappa \leq 0$, the first nonzero eigenvalue $\mu(L_1, M)$ of operator L_1 satisfies

$$\mu(L_1, M) \geq \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{na}{na-1}\right)\left[2(n-1)\alpha^3(n-a^2) + 2\kappa(n-1)^2 a\alpha - \sigma\right],$$

where $\sigma = \max_{(p,v)\in TM} \operatorname{tr}(\operatorname{Hess} H|_{v^\perp})(p)$, and $v^\perp = \{u \in T_pM; \langle u, v\rangle = 0\}$.

Furthermore, the equalities hold if and only if M is a geodesic sphere with the canonical immersion.

Remark 1.5. *If $A \geq \alpha > 0$ then, by using Gauss equation, $\operatorname{Ric} \geq (n-1)[\kappa + \alpha^2] = \operatorname{Ric}_{\mathbb{S}^n(\alpha)} > 0$, for $\alpha^2 > -\kappa$, and thus we can recover the original Lichnerowicz condition.*

Conversely, if we assume the Lichnerowicz condition $\operatorname{Ric} \geq (n-1)\Lambda > 0$ for some constant $\Lambda > 0$, then by using Gauss equation again, we have $\langle A \circ P_1(X), X\rangle \geq (n-1)[\Lambda - \kappa]|X|^2$. If we assume in addition that $P_1 > 0$ and $\Lambda > \kappa$, then $A > 0$.

Remark 1.6. *If H is constant and $A \geq \kappa$, then $x(M^n)$ is a geodesic sphere. In fact,*

(1) if $\kappa = 0$, by a Hadamard theorem, cf. [17], [14], the immersion $x : M^n \to \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ is an embedding and $x(M^n)$ is a boundary of a convex domain of $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$. Thus by using the Alexandrov Theorem, cf. [5], $x(M^n)$ is a round sphere;

(2) if $\kappa > 0$, by do Carmo-Warner Theorem, cf. [14], then $x : M^n \to \mathbb{S}^{n+1}(\kappa)$ is an embedding and $x(M^n)$ is either totally geodesic or contained in a open hemisphere. In the last case, $x(M^n)$ is a boundary of a convex domain in $\mathbb{S}^{n+1}(\kappa)$. On the other hand, in [19], S. Montiel and A. Ros proved that if $x : M^n \to \mathbb{S}^{n+1}(\kappa)$ is an embedding such that S_r is constant for some r and $x(M^n)$ is contained in a open hemisphere, then M^n is a geodesic sphere;

(3) if $\kappa < 0$, by do Carmo-Warner Theorem, cf. [14], then $x : M^n \to \mathbb{H}^{n+1}(\kappa)$ is an embedding and $x(M^n)$ is a boundary of a convex domain in $\mathbb{H}^{n+1}(\kappa)$. On the other hand, in [19], S. Montiel and A. Ros proved that if $x : M^n \to \mathbb{H}^{n+1}(\kappa)$ is an embedding such that S_r is constant for some r then M^n is a geodesic sphere.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows: In Section 2, we will give the proof of Theorem 1.1, in Section 3 we prove Theorem 3.1 which implies Theorem 1.2, and in Section 4 we prove Theorem 1.3. Finally in Appendix, we prove the Proposition 5.1, which may produce more examples of ϕ for the differential operator $\Box$.

2 A Bochner-type formula

In this section we will prove a Bochner type formula for the differential operator $\Box$ mentioned in the introduction. Let us start with the following general result.

Theorem 2.1. *Let M^n be a Riemannian manifold and $\phi : TM \to TM$ a symmetric linear transformation. Then, for any smooth function $f : M \to \mathbb{R}$,*

$$\frac{1}{2}\Box(|\nabla f|^2) = \langle \nabla f, \nabla(\Box f)\rangle + (R_\phi)(\nabla f, \nabla f) + \mathrm{tr}(H_f^2 \circ \phi) - \mathrm{tr}(H_f \circ \nabla_{\nabla f}\phi),$$

where $(R_\phi)(X,Y) = \mathrm{tr}(\phi \circ (Z \mapsto R(X,Z)Y)))$ and R is the curvature tensor of M.

Proof. For a point $p \in M$, for any orthonormal frame $\{e_1, \ldots, e_n\}$ near p, we have $|\nabla f|^2 = \sum_i (f_i)^2$ and

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{2}\Box(|\nabla f|^2) &= \frac{1}{2}\sum_i \sum_{j,k} \phi_{jk}(f_i^2)_{jk} \\ &= \sum_i \sum_{j,k} f_i\phi_{jk}(f_i)_{jk} + \sum_i \sum_{j,k} \phi_{jk}(f_i)_j(f_i)_k.\end{aligned}$$

Now we choose an orthonormal frame $\{e_1, \ldots, e_n\}$ such that ϕ is diagonalized at p, i.e. $\phi_{jk} = \mu_j\delta_{jk}$, where μ_j are eigenvalues of (ϕ_{jk}). Then we choose an orthonormal frame in a neighbourhood of $p \in M$ by parallel translating frame $\{e_1, \ldots, e_n\}$ at p. Here at p, we have $\nabla_{e_i}e_j = 0$ at p. Moreover, $\nabla_{e_i}e_j = 0$ along the geodesic tangent to e_i which implies $\nabla_{e_i}\nabla_{e_i}e_j = 0$ at p for all i, j. Thus we have

$$\frac{1}{2}\Box(|\nabla f|^2) = \sum_{i,j} f_i\mu_j(f_i)_{jj} + \sum_{i,j} \phi_{jj}(f_i)_j(f_i)_j.$$

Since the terms $(f_i)_j$ and $(f_i)_{jj}$ denote differentiation of the function f_i, in general they are not equal to the covariant differentiations f_{ij} and f_{ijj} of f. However, by the special choice of our frame, we have $(f_i)_j = f_{ij}$ and $(f_i)_{jj} = f_{ijj}$ at p. From Ricci identity, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_j f_{ijj}\mu_j &= \sum_j f_{jij}\mu_j \\ &= \sum_j f_{jji}\mu_j + \sum_{j,l} f_l R_{ljij}\mu_j.\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, at p

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{i,j} f_i\mu_j(f_i)_{jj} &= \sum_{i,j,k} f_i f_{jki}\phi_{jk} + \sum_{i,j,k,l} f_i f_l R_{ljik}\phi_{jk}\\ &= \sum_{i,j,k} f_i f_{jki}\phi_{jk} + \sum_{j,k} \langle R(\nabla f, e_j)\nabla f, e_k\rangle \phi_{jk}\\ &= \sum_{i,j,k} f_i(f_{jk}\phi_{jk})_i - \sum_{i,j,k} f_i f_{jk}\phi_{jk;i} + \sum_{j} \langle R(\nabla f, e_j)\nabla f, \phi(e_j)\rangle\\ &= \langle \nabla f, \nabla(\Box f)\rangle + (R_\phi)(\nabla f, \nabla f) - \sum_{i,j,k} f_i f_{jk}\phi_{jk;i}.\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand,

$$\sum_{i,j,k} f_{ki} f_{ij}\phi_{jk} = \operatorname{tr}(H_f^2 \circ \phi).$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{2}\Box(|\nabla f|^2) &= \langle \nabla f, \nabla(\Box f)\rangle + (R_\phi)(\nabla f, \nabla f) + \operatorname{tr}(H_f^2 \circ \phi) - \sum_{i,j,k} f_i f_{jk}\phi_{jk;i}\\ &= \langle \nabla f, \nabla(\Box f)\rangle + (R_\phi)(\nabla f, \nabla f) + \operatorname{tr}(H_f^2 \circ \phi) - \operatorname{tr}(H_f \circ \nabla_{\nabla f}\phi).\end{aligned}$$

$\Box$

Theorem 1.1 is the main tool to prove the results of this paper. We start using the proof of Theorem 2.1 which statement has less hypothesis than Theorem 1.1. However, the terms R_ϕ and $\operatorname{tr}(H_f \circ \nabla_{\nabla f}\phi)$ are not familiar to most people and they are difficult to compute in general. But the additional condition $\operatorname{div}\phi = c\nabla(\operatorname{tr}\phi)$ allows us to rewrite these terms into familiar expressions.

Notice that, similar to Proposition 5.3, in the Appendix, we have

$$\sum_i \left(\sum_j \phi_{ij;j}\right) f_i = \operatorname{tr}(X \mapsto (\nabla_X\phi)\nabla f).$$

Then

$$\Box f = \operatorname{div}(\phi(\nabla f)) - \operatorname{tr}(X \mapsto (\nabla_X\phi)\nabla f). \tag{2.1}$$

Let us introduce a linear operator Ψ_f defined by

$$\Psi_f(u) = (\nabla_u\phi)(\nabla f).$$

First, we prove the "Codazzi equation" for the linear operator Ψ_f.

Proposition 2.1. *Let* M *be a Riemannian manifold and* $\phi : TM \to TM$ *be a linear transformation. Then for all smooth function* $f : M \to \mathbb{R}$,

$$\begin{aligned}(\nabla_X \Psi_f)(Y) - (\nabla_Y \Psi_f)(X) = \phi(R(X,Y)\nabla f) - R(X,Y)\phi(\nabla f)\\ - [(\nabla_X \phi \circ H_f)(Y) - (\nabla_Y \phi \circ H_f)(X)].\end{aligned} \tag{2.2}$$

Proof. We have

$$\begin{aligned}(\nabla_X \Psi_f)(Y) - (\nabla_Y \Psi_f)(X) &= \nabla_X(\Psi_f(Y)) - \Psi_f(\nabla_X Y) - \nabla_Y(\Psi_f(X)) + \Psi_f(\nabla_Y X)\\ &= \nabla_X(\nabla_Y \phi)(\nabla f) - (\nabla_{[X,Y]}\phi)(\nabla f) - \nabla_Y(\nabla_X \phi)(\nabla f)\\ &= \nabla_X \nabla_Y(\phi(\nabla f)) - \nabla_X(\phi(\nabla_Y \nabla f)) - \nabla_Y \nabla_X(\phi(\nabla f))\\ &\quad + \nabla_Y(\phi(\nabla_X \nabla f)) - \nabla_{[X,Y]}(\phi(\nabla f)) + \phi(\nabla_{[X,Y]}\nabla f)\\ &= -R(X,Y)(\phi(\nabla f)) - \nabla_X(\phi(\nabla_Y \nabla f))\\ &\quad + \nabla_Y(\phi(\nabla_X \nabla f)) + \phi(\nabla_{[X,Y]}\nabla f)\\ &= -R(X,Y)\phi(\nabla f) - (\nabla_X \phi)(\nabla_Y \nabla f) - \phi(\nabla_X \nabla_Y \nabla f)\\ &\quad + (\nabla_Y \phi)(\nabla_X \nabla f) + \phi(\nabla_Y \nabla_X \nabla f) + \phi(\nabla_{[X,Y]}\nabla f)\\ &= -R(X,Y)\phi(\nabla f) + \phi(R(X,Y)\nabla f)\\ &\quad - [(\nabla_X \phi)(\nabla_Y \nabla f) - (\nabla_Y \phi)(\nabla_X \nabla f)],\end{aligned}$$

and it proves the desired result. □

We will need two lemmas to complete the proof of Theorem 1.1.

Lemma 2.1. *If* $\operatorname{div}\phi = c\nabla(\operatorname{tr}\phi)$, *then*

$$(R_\phi)(\nabla f, \nabla f) - \operatorname{tr}(H_f \circ \nabla_{\nabla f}\phi) = \operatorname{Ric}(\nabla f, \phi(\nabla f)) - \langle \operatorname{div}\Psi_f, \nabla f\rangle + c\,\operatorname{Hess}(\operatorname{tr}\phi)(\nabla f, \nabla f).$$

Proof. Choosing $X = \nabla f$ in the Codazzi formula of proposition above, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}(\nabla_{\nabla f}\Psi_f)(Y) - (\nabla_Y \Psi_f)(\nabla f) = \phi(R(\nabla f, Y)\nabla f) - R(\nabla f, Y)\phi(\nabla f)\\ - [(\nabla_{\nabla f}\phi \circ H_f)(Y) - (\nabla_Y \phi \circ H_f)(\nabla f)].\end{aligned}$$

Tracing identity above, noting that

$$\operatorname{tr}(\nabla_{\nabla f}\Psi_f) = \langle \nabla f, \nabla(\operatorname{tr}\Psi_f)\rangle,$$

and

$$(\nabla_Y \phi \circ H_f)(\nabla f) = (\nabla_Y \phi)(H_f(\nabla f)) = \frac{1}{2}(\nabla_Y \phi)(\nabla|\nabla f|^2),$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned}\langle\nabla f,\nabla(\operatorname{tr}\Psi_f)\rangle-\operatorname{tr}(Y\mapsto(\nabla_Y\Psi_f)(\nabla f))&=(R_\phi)(\nabla f,\nabla f)-\operatorname{Ric}(\nabla f,\phi(\nabla f))\\&\quad-\operatorname{tr}(\nabla_{\nabla f}\phi\circ H_f)+\frac{1}{2}\operatorname{tr}(Y\mapsto(\nabla_Y\phi)(\nabla|\nabla f|^2)),\end{aligned}$$

i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned}(R_\phi)(\nabla f,\nabla f)-\operatorname{tr}(H_f\circ\nabla_{\nabla f}\phi)&=\operatorname{Ric}(\nabla f,\phi(\nabla f))+\langle\nabla f,\nabla(\operatorname{tr}\Psi_f)\rangle\\&\quad-\operatorname{tr}(Y\mapsto(\nabla_Y\Psi_f)(\nabla f))-\frac{1}{2}\operatorname{tr}(Y\mapsto(\nabla_Y\phi)(\nabla|\nabla f|^2)).\end{aligned}\tag{2.3}$$

Since $\operatorname{div}\phi=c\nabla(\operatorname{tr}\phi)$, we have

a) $\operatorname{tr}(Y\mapsto(\nabla_Y\phi)(\nabla|\nabla f|^2))=\langle\operatorname{div}\phi,\nabla|\nabla f|^2\rangle=c\langle\nabla(\operatorname{tr}\phi),\nabla|\nabla f|^2\rangle$;

b) $\operatorname{tr}\Psi_f=\operatorname{tr}(Y\mapsto(\nabla_Y\phi)(\nabla f))=\langle\operatorname{div}\phi,\nabla f\rangle=c\langle\nabla(\operatorname{tr}\phi),\nabla f\rangle$.

It implies

$$\begin{aligned}\langle\nabla(\operatorname{tr}\Psi_f),\nabla f\rangle&=c\langle\nabla f,\nabla\langle\nabla(\operatorname{tr}\phi),\nabla f\rangle\rangle=c\nabla f\langle\nabla(\operatorname{tr}\phi),\nabla f\rangle\\&=c\langle\nabla_{\nabla f}\nabla(\operatorname{tr}\phi),\nabla f\rangle+\frac{c}{2}\langle\nabla(\operatorname{tr}\phi),\nabla|\nabla f|^2\rangle\\&=c\operatorname{Hess}(\operatorname{tr}\phi)(\nabla f,\nabla f)+\frac{c}{2}\langle\nabla(\operatorname{tr}\phi),\nabla|\nabla f|^2\rangle.\end{aligned}$$

Replacing these formulas in (2.3), and noting that

$$\operatorname{tr}(Y\mapsto(\nabla_Y\Psi_f)(\nabla f))=\langle\operatorname{div}\Psi_f,\nabla f\rangle,$$

we obtain the result. □

Before to prove Theorem 1.1, we need to find a more convenient expression for $\langle\operatorname{div}\Psi_f,\nabla f\rangle$. First, let us recall some notations. Let $\phi:TM\to TM$ be a linear operator. The first and the second covariant derivatives of ϕ are defined by

$$\nabla\phi(X,Y)=\nabla_Y(\phi(X))-\phi(\nabla_Y X),$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}(\nabla^2\phi)(X,Y,Z)&=\nabla_Z(\nabla\phi(X,Y))-\nabla\phi(\nabla_Z X,Y)-\nabla\phi(X,\nabla_Z Y)\\&=\nabla_Z(\nabla_Y(\phi(X)))-\nabla_Z(\phi(\nabla_Y X))-\nabla_Y(\phi(\nabla_Z X))+\phi(\nabla_Y\nabla_Z X)\\&\qquad-\nabla_{\nabla_Z Y}\phi(X)+\phi(\nabla_{\nabla_Z Y}X).\end{aligned}$$

The Laplacian of ϕ is the tensor $\Delta\phi : TM \to TM$ given by

$$(\Delta\phi)(X) = \operatorname{tr}(\nabla^2\phi)(X) = \sum_{i=1}^{n}(\nabla^2\phi)(X, e_i, e_i),$$

where $\{e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_n\}$ is an orthonormal frame in TM.

Lemma 2.2. *If ϕ is symmetric, then*

$$\langle(\Delta\phi)(\nabla f), \nabla f\rangle = \langle\operatorname{div}\Psi_f, \nabla f\rangle - \operatorname{div}((H_f \circ \phi)(\nabla f)) + \operatorname{tr}(H_f^2 \circ \phi) + \langle\operatorname{div} H_f, \phi(\nabla f)\rangle.$$

Proof. Let $\{e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_n\}$ be an orthonormal frame which is geodesic in $p \in M$. By using the definition of covariant derivative, we have

$$\begin{aligned}(\nabla^2\phi)(\nabla f, e_i, e_i) = {} & \nabla_{e_i}(\nabla_{e_i}(\phi(\nabla f))) - \nabla_{e_i}(\phi(\nabla_{e_i}\nabla f)) - \nabla_{e_i}(\phi(\nabla_{e_i}\nabla f)) \\ & + \phi(\nabla_{e_i}\nabla_{e_i}\nabla f) - \nabla_{\nabla_{e_i}e_i}\phi(\nabla f) + \phi(\nabla_{\nabla_{e_i}e_i}\nabla f).\end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned}\operatorname{div}\Psi_f &= \sum_{i=1}^{n}(\nabla_{e_i}\Psi_f)(e_i) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left[\nabla_{e_i}(\Psi_f(e_i)) - \Psi_f(\nabla_{e_i}e_i)\right] \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{n}\nabla_{e_i}((\nabla_{e_i}\phi)(\nabla f)) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left[\nabla_{e_i}(\nabla_{e_i}(\phi(\nabla f))) - \nabla_{e_i}(\phi(\nabla_{e_i}\nabla f))\right],\end{aligned}$$

we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n}(\nabla^2\phi)(\nabla f, e_i, e_i) = \operatorname{div}\Psi_f - \sum_{i=1}^{n}\nabla_{e_i}(\phi(\nabla_{e_i}\nabla f)) + \sum_{i=1}^{n}\phi(\nabla_{e_i}\nabla_{e_i}\nabla f).$$

They imply

$$\langle(\Delta\phi)(\nabla f), \nabla f\rangle = \langle\operatorname{div}\Psi_f, \nabla f\rangle - \sum_{i=1}^{n}\langle\nabla_{e_i}(\phi(\nabla_{e_i}\nabla f)), \nabla f\rangle + \langle\phi(\nabla_{e_i}\nabla_{e_i}\nabla f), \nabla f\rangle.$$

On the other hand, by using the symmetry of ϕ, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\langle\nabla_{e_i}(\phi(\nabla_{e_i}\nabla f)), \nabla f\rangle &= \langle\nabla_{e_i}((\phi \circ H_f)(e_i)), \nabla f\rangle \\ &= e_i\langle(\phi \circ H_f)(e_i), \nabla f\rangle - \langle\phi \circ H_f(e_i), \nabla_{e_i}\nabla f\rangle \\ &= e_i\langle e_i, (H_f \circ \phi)(\nabla f)\rangle - \langle(H_f \circ \phi \circ H_f)(e_i), e_i\rangle \\ &= \langle e_i, \nabla_{e_i}(H_f \circ \phi)(\nabla f)\rangle - \langle(H_f \circ \phi \circ H_f)(e_i), e_i\rangle,\end{aligned}$$

i.e.,

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n}\langle\nabla_{e_i}((\phi\circ H_f)(e_i)),\nabla f\rangle=\operatorname{div}((H_f\circ\phi)(\nabla f))-\operatorname{tr}(H_f^2\circ\phi).$$

By using the symmetry of ϕ again, we have

$$\langle\phi(\nabla_{e_i}\nabla_{e_i}\nabla f),\nabla f\rangle=\langle\nabla_{e_i}\nabla_{e_i}\nabla f,\phi(\nabla f)\rangle=\langle\nabla_{e_i}(H_f(e_i)),\phi(\nabla f)\rangle=\langle\operatorname{div}H_f,\phi(\nabla f)\rangle.$$

Then

$$\langle(\Delta\phi)(\nabla f),\nabla f\rangle=\langle\operatorname{div}\Psi_f,\nabla f\rangle-\operatorname{div}((H_f\circ\phi)(\nabla f))+\operatorname{tr}(H_f^2\circ\phi)+\langle\operatorname{div}(H_f),\phi(\nabla f)\rangle.$$

□

Now, we are ready to conclude the proof of Theorem 1.1.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. By using Lemma 2.2,

$$-\langle\operatorname{div}\Psi_f,\nabla f\rangle=-\langle(\Delta\phi)(\nabla f),\nabla f\rangle-\operatorname{div}((H_f\circ\phi)(\nabla f))+\operatorname{tr}(H_f^2\circ\phi)+\langle\operatorname{div}H_f,\phi(\nabla f)\rangle.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}(R_\phi)(\nabla f,\nabla f)-\operatorname{tr}(H_f\circ\nabla_{\nabla f}\phi)=\operatorname{Ric}(\nabla f,\phi(\nabla f))&-\langle(\Delta\phi)(\nabla f),\nabla f\rangle\\&-\operatorname{div}((H_f\circ\phi)(\nabla f))+\operatorname{tr}(H_f^2\circ\phi)+\langle\operatorname{div}H_f,\phi(\nabla f)\rangle\\&+c\operatorname{Hess}(\operatorname{tr}\phi)(\nabla f,\nabla f).\end{aligned}\tag{2.4}$$

On the other hand, choosing a geodesic frame and by using Ricci identity, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\langle\operatorname{div}H_f,\phi(\nabla f)\rangle&=\sum_{i=1}^{n}\langle(\nabla_{e_i}H_f)(e_i),\phi(\nabla f)\rangle=\sum_{i=1}^{n}\langle\nabla_{e_i}(H_f(e_i)),\phi(\nabla f)\rangle\\&=\sum_{i,j=1}^{n}\langle\nabla_{e_i}(f_{ij}e_j),\phi(\nabla f)\rangle=\sum_{i,j=1}^{n}f_{iji}\langle e_j,\phi(\nabla f)\rangle\\&=\sum_{i,j=1}^{n}f_{iij}\langle e_j,\phi(\nabla f)\rangle+\sum_{i,j,k=1}^{n}f_kR_{kiji}\langle e_j,\phi(\nabla f)\rangle\\&=\langle\nabla(\Delta f),\phi(\nabla f)\rangle+\sum_{i,j,k=1}^{n}f_k\langle R(e_k,e_i)e_j,e_i\rangle\langle e_j,\phi(\nabla f)\rangle\\&=\langle\nabla(\Delta f),\phi(\nabla f)\rangle+\sum_{i=1}^{n}\langle R(\nabla f,e_i)\phi(\nabla f),e_i\rangle\\&=\langle\nabla(\Delta f),\phi(\nabla f)\rangle+\operatorname{Ric}(\nabla f,\phi(\nabla f)).\end{aligned}$$

Replacing this expression in the equation (2.4), we get

$$(R_\phi)(\nabla f,\nabla f)-\operatorname{tr}(H_f\circ\nabla_{\nabla f}\phi)=2\operatorname{Ric}(\nabla f,\phi(\nabla f))+\langle\nabla(\Delta f),\phi(\nabla f)\rangle-\langle(\Delta\phi)(\nabla f),\nabla f\rangle$$
$$-\operatorname{div}((H_f\circ\phi)(\nabla f))+\operatorname{tr}(H_f^2\circ\phi)++c\operatorname{Hess}(\operatorname{tr}\phi)(\nabla f,\nabla f).$$

Finally, replacing the last expression above in the formula of the Theorem 2.1, we obtain the result. □

3 Estimate of first eigenvalue of the Shouten operator

The main purpose of this section is to prove Theorem 3.1. A special case of this Theorem is Theorem 1.2, where the scalar curvature is constant. Before proving Theorem 3.1, we need to prove four lemmas.

Lemma 3.1 (Generalized Newton inequality)**.** *Let A and B be two $n\times n$ symmetric matrices. If B is positive definite, then*

$$\operatorname{tr}(A^2B)\geq\frac{[\operatorname{tr}(AB)]^2}{\operatorname{tr}B},\tag{3.1}$$

and the equality holds if and only if $A=\alpha I$ for some $\alpha\in\mathbb{R}$.

Proof. Let C be a positive definite matrix. By using the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality with $A\sqrt{C}$ and $(\sqrt{C})^{-1}B$, and the fact $\operatorname{tr}[(AB)^2]\leq\operatorname{tr}(A^2B^2)$, which holds for symmetric matrices, we have

$$[\operatorname{tr}(AB)]^2=\operatorname{tr}(A\sqrt{C}(\sqrt{C})^{-1}B)^2\leq\operatorname{tr}(A^2C)\operatorname{tr}(B^2C^{-1}).$$

In particular, since B is positive definite, we can choose $C=B$ to obtain

$$[\operatorname{tr}(AB)]^2\leq\operatorname{tr}(A^2B)\operatorname{tr}B,$$

i.e.,

$$\operatorname{tr}(A^2B)\geq\frac{[\operatorname{tr}(AB)]^2}{\operatorname{tr}B}.$$

The equality holds if and only if

$$A\sqrt{B}=\alpha(\sqrt{B})^{-1}B\Leftrightarrow(A\sqrt{B})\sqrt{B}=\alpha(\sqrt{B})^{-1}B\sqrt{B}\Leftrightarrow AB=\alpha B\Leftrightarrow A=\alpha I.$$

□

Remark 3.1. *When $B=I$, the inequality* (3.1) *becomes*

$$\|A\|^2\geq\frac{1}{n}(\operatorname{tr}A)^2,$$

which is known as (classical) Newton inequality.

When $A = H_f$ and $B = \phi$, (3.1) implies

Lemma 3.2. *If ϕ is positive definite, then*

$$\operatorname{tr}(H_f^2 \circ \phi) \geq \frac{(\Box f)^2}{\operatorname{tr}\phi},$$

and the equality holds if and only if, $H_f = \alpha I$, i.e., $\operatorname{Hess} f(X,Y) = \alpha\langle X, Y\rangle$.

In Proposition 5.1, item (4), in the Appendix, we have

$$\operatorname{div} S = \nabla(\operatorname{tr} S).$$

Then we can apply the formula of Theorem 1.1. Moreover, S is divergence free if and only if M has constant scalar curvature.

When S is not divergence free, next two lemmas will be useful in the estimates.

Lemma 3.3. *If $\operatorname{div}\phi = c\nabla(\operatorname{tr}\phi)$ and if $f : M \to \mathbb{R}$ is an eigenfunction of $\Box$ with eigenvalue μ, we have*

$$\int_M \langle \phi(\nabla f), \nabla f\rangle dM = \int_M \left(\frac{c}{2}\Delta(\operatorname{tr}\phi) + \mu\right) f^2 dM.$$

Proof. Since

$$\frac{1}{2}\Box(f^2) = f\Box f + \langle \phi(\nabla f), \nabla f\rangle,$$

we have

$$\int_M \langle \phi(\nabla f), \nabla f\rangle dM = \frac{1}{2}\int_M \Box(f^2) dM - \int_M f\Box f dM.$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{2}\Box(f^2) &= \frac{1}{2}\operatorname{div}(\phi(\nabla(f^2))) - \frac{1}{2}\langle \operatorname{div}\phi, \nabla(f^2)\rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{2}\operatorname{div}(\phi(\nabla(f^2))) - \frac{c}{2}\langle \nabla(\operatorname{tr}\phi), \nabla(f^2)\rangle.\end{aligned}$$

Integrating the equation above and by using Divergence Theorem, we have

$$\frac{1}{2}\int_M \Box(f^2) dM = -\frac{c}{2}\int_M \langle \nabla(\operatorname{tr}\phi), \nabla(f^2)\rangle dM.$$

Since

$$\operatorname{div}(f^2\nabla(\operatorname{tr}\phi)) = f^2\Delta(\operatorname{tr}\phi) + \langle \nabla(\operatorname{tr}\phi), \nabla(f^2)\rangle,$$

then

$$-\frac{c}{2}\int_M \langle \nabla(\operatorname{tr}\phi), \nabla(f^2)\rangle dM = \frac{c}{2}\int_M \Delta(\operatorname{tr}\phi) f^2 dM.$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}\int_M \langle \phi(\nabla f), \nabla f\rangle dM &= \frac{1}{2}\int_M \Box(f^2)dM - \int_M f\Box f dM \\ &= -\frac{c}{2}\int_M \langle \nabla(\operatorname{tr}\phi), \nabla(f^2)\rangle dM + \mu\int_M f^2 dM \\ &= \frac{c}{2}\int_M \Delta(\operatorname{tr}\phi) f^2 dM + \mu\int_M f^2 dM.\end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 3.4. *If ϕ is a symmetric $(2,0)$-tensor and $f : M \to \mathbb{R}$ is a smooth function, then*

$$-\int_M \Delta f\langle \nabla(\operatorname{tr}\phi), \nabla f\rangle dM = \int_M \operatorname{Hess}(\operatorname{tr}\phi)(\nabla f, \nabla f)dM - \frac{1}{2}\int_M \Delta(\operatorname{tr}\phi)|\nabla f|^2 dM.$$

Proof. A straightforward calculation yields

$$\begin{aligned}\int_M \operatorname{Hess}(\operatorname{tr}\phi)(\nabla f, \nabla f)dM &= \int_M \langle \nabla_{\nabla f}\nabla(\operatorname{tr}\phi), \nabla f\rangle dM \\ &= \int_M \nabla f\langle \nabla(\operatorname{tr}\phi), \nabla f\rangle dM - \int_M \langle \nabla(\operatorname{tr}\phi), \nabla_{\nabla f}\nabla f\rangle \\ &= \int_M \langle \nabla f, \nabla\langle \nabla(\operatorname{tr}\phi), \nabla f\rangle\rangle\, dM - \frac{1}{2}\int_M \langle \nabla(\operatorname{tr}\phi), \nabla|\nabla f|^2\rangle dM.\end{aligned}$$

By using the identity $\operatorname{div}(fX) = f\operatorname{div}(X) + \langle X, \nabla f\rangle$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}&1) \qquad \operatorname{div}(\langle \nabla(\operatorname{tr}\phi), \nabla f\rangle \nabla f) = \langle \nabla(\operatorname{tr}\phi), \nabla f\rangle \Delta f + \langle \nabla f, \nabla\langle \nabla(\operatorname{tr}\phi), \nabla f\rangle\rangle \\ &2) \qquad \operatorname{div}(|\nabla f|^2\nabla(\operatorname{tr}\phi)) = |\nabla f|^2\Delta(\operatorname{tr}\phi) + \langle \nabla(\operatorname{tr}\phi), \nabla|\nabla f|^2\rangle.\end{aligned}$$

Integrating these expressions and applying divergence theorem, we obtain

$$\int_M \langle \nabla f, \nabla\langle \nabla(\operatorname{tr}\phi), \nabla f\rangle\rangle\, dM = -\int_M \Delta f\langle \nabla(\operatorname{tr}\phi), \nabla f\rangle dM$$

and

$$\int_M \langle \nabla(\operatorname{tr}\phi), \nabla|\nabla f|^2\rangle dM = -\int_M \Delta(\operatorname{tr}\phi)|\nabla f|^2 dM.$$

Therefore,

$$\int_M \operatorname{Hess}(\operatorname{tr}\phi)(\nabla f, \nabla f)dM = -\int_M \Delta f\langle \nabla(\operatorname{tr}\phi), \nabla f\rangle dM + \frac{1}{2}\int_M \Delta(\operatorname{tr}\phi)|\nabla f|^2 dM,$$

and the result then follows. □

Now let us prove the following Theorem which is more general than Theorem 1.2.

Theorem 3.1. *Let $M^n, n \geq 4$ be a compact, without boundary, Riemannian manifold which has harmonic Weyl tensor. Suppose the Schouten tensor is positive definite, and there exists $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$ and a smooth function $f : M \to \mathbb{R}$ such that*

$$\Box_S f + \mu f = 0.$$

Then

$$\mu \geq \frac{1}{4}\left(\frac{\overline{R}}{\overline{R}-\lambda_0}\right)\left[\Gamma - (2b+\sigma) + \sqrt{(\Gamma - (2b+\sigma))^2 + 8b(\Gamma - \sigma)\left(\frac{\overline{R}-\lambda_0}{\overline{R}}\right)}\,\right],$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
\Gamma &= \lambda_0^2 + \left(\frac{\min_M R}{2(n-1)} - K_0\right)\lambda_0 + \frac{n-2}{2(n-1)}K_0 \min_M R, \ \text{if } K_0 \geq 0,\\
\Gamma &= \lambda_0^2 + \left(\frac{\min_M R}{2(n-1)} - K_0\right)\lambda_0 + K_0\overline{R}, \ \text{if } K_0 < 0,\\
b &= \frac{n-2}{2(n-1)} \max_M \Delta R,\\
\sigma &= \frac{n-2}{2(n-1)} \max_{(p,v)\in TM} \operatorname{tr}(\operatorname{Hess} R|_{v^\perp})(p), v^\perp = \{u \in T_pM; \langle u, v\rangle = 0\},\\
\overline{R} &= \max_M(\operatorname{tr} S) = \frac{n-2}{2(n-1)} \max_M R,
\end{aligned}$$

λ_0 is the minimum of the eigenvalues of S, and K_0 is the minimum of the sectional curvature of M.
In particular, if R is constant, then $\Box_S$ is self-adjoint and we choose $\mu = \mu_1(\Box_S, M)$. In this case,

$$\mu_1(\Box_S, M) \geq \frac{\Gamma}{2}\left(\frac{\overline{R}}{\overline{R}-\lambda_0}\right). \tag{3.2}$$

Furthermore, the equalities in the estimates above hold if and only if $M = \mathbb{S}^n(K_0)$.

Remark 3.2. *In order to see how the right hand side of (3.2) gives the proof of Theorem 1.2, let r be the minimum of Ricci curvature. Since R is constant, we have*

$$\lambda_0 = r - \frac{R}{2(n-1)}.$$

Replacing expression above in the estimate

$$\mu_1(\Box_S, M) \geq \frac{\Gamma}{2}\left(\frac{\overline{R}}{\overline{R}-\lambda_0}\right),$$

we have

$$\frac{\overline{R}}{\overline{R}-\lambda_0} = \frac{\dfrac{n-2}{2(n-1)}R}{\dfrac{n-2}{2(n-1)}R - \left(r - \dfrac{R}{2(n-1)}\right)} = \frac{n-2}{n-1}\frac{R}{R-2r},$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\Gamma &= \lambda_0^2 + \left(\frac{R}{2(n-1)} - K_0\right)\lambda_0 + \frac{n-2}{2(n-1)}K_0R \\ &= \left(r - \frac{R}{2(n-1)}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{R}{2(n-1)} - K_0\right)\left(r - \frac{R}{2(n-1)}\right) + \frac{n-2}{2(n-1)}K_0R \\ &= r^2 - \left(\frac{R}{2(n-1)} + K_0\right)r + \frac{1}{2}K_0R.\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the estimate becomes

$$\mu_1(\Box_S, M) \geq \frac{n-2}{2(n-1)}\left(\frac{R}{R-2r}\right)\left[r^2 - \left(\frac{R}{2(n-1)} + K_0\right)r + \frac{1}{2}K_0R\right].$$

Proof of Theorem 3.1. The Bochner formula of Theorem 1.1 in this case becomes

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{2}\Box_S|\nabla f|^2 &= \langle \nabla f, \nabla(\Box_S f)\rangle + 2\operatorname{tr}(H_f^2 \circ S) + \langle S(\nabla f), \nabla(\Delta f)\rangle \\ &\quad + 2\operatorname{Ric}(\nabla f, S(\nabla f)) + \operatorname{Hess}(\operatorname{tr} S)(\nabla f, \nabla f) \\ &\quad - \langle (\Delta S)(\nabla f), \nabla f\rangle - \operatorname{div}((H_f \circ S)(\nabla f)).\end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

Let us integrate and estimate each terms in the equation (3.3). We will complete our proof after proving several claims.

Claim 1. $\frac{1}{2}\int_M \Box_S|\nabla f|^2 = \frac{1}{2}\int_M \Delta(\operatorname{tr} S)|\nabla f|^2 dM.$

In fact,

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{2}\int_M \Box_S|\nabla f|^2 dM &= \frac{1}{2}\int_M \operatorname{div}(S(\nabla|\nabla f|^2))dM - \frac{1}{2}\int_M \langle \operatorname{div} S, \nabla|\nabla f|^2\rangle dM \\ &= -\frac{1}{2}\int_M \langle \nabla(\operatorname{tr} S), \nabla|\nabla f|^2\rangle dM \\ &= -\frac{1}{2}\int_M \operatorname{div}(|\nabla f|^2\nabla(\operatorname{tr} S))dM + \frac{1}{2}\int_M \Delta(\operatorname{tr} S)|\nabla f|^2 dM \\ &= \frac{1}{2}\int_M \Delta(\operatorname{tr} S)|\nabla f|^2 dM,\end{aligned}$$

and Claim 1 follows.

Claim 2.

$$\int_M \langle S(\nabla f), \nabla(\Delta f)\rangle dM = -\mu \int_M |\nabla f|^2 dM + \int_M \operatorname{Hess}(\operatorname{tr} S)(\nabla f, \nabla f) dM - \frac{1}{2}\int_M \Delta(\operatorname{tr} S)|\nabla f|^2 dM.$$

In fact, by using Lemma 3.4, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\int_M \langle S(\Delta f), \nabla(\Delta f)\rangle dM =& \int_M \operatorname{div}(\Delta f \cdot S(\nabla f)) dM - \int_M \Delta f \cdot \operatorname{div}(S(\nabla f)) dM \\ =& - \int_M \Delta f \cdot \Box_S f dM - \int_M \Delta f \langle \operatorname{div} S, \nabla f\rangle \\ =& \mu \int_M f \Delta f dM - \int_M \Delta f \langle \nabla(\operatorname{tr} S), \nabla f\rangle \\ =& -\mu \int_M |\nabla f|^2 dM + \int_M \operatorname{Hess}(\operatorname{tr} S)(\nabla f, \nabla f) dM \\ & -\frac{1}{2}\int_M \Delta(\operatorname{tr} S)|\nabla f|^2 dM.\end{aligned}$$

Claim 3.

$$\int_M \left[2\operatorname{Ric}(\nabla f, S(\nabla f)) + \operatorname{Hess}(\operatorname{tr} S)(\nabla f, \nabla f) - \langle(\Delta S)(\nabla f), \nabla f\rangle\right] dM \geq \Gamma \int_M |\nabla f|^2 dM.$$

To prove this claim, first note that

$$\begin{aligned}\operatorname{Ric}(\nabla f, S(\nabla f)) &= \langle \operatorname{Ric}(\nabla f), S(\nabla f)\rangle \\ &= \left\langle \left(S + \frac{R}{2(n-1)} I\right)(\nabla f), S(\nabla f)\right\rangle \\ &= |S(\nabla f)|^2 + \frac{R}{2(n-1)}\langle S(\nabla f), \nabla f\rangle.\end{aligned}$$

Since S is a Codazzi tensor,

$$(\Delta S)_{ij} := \sum_{k=1}^{n} S_{ij;kk} = \sum_{k=1}^{n} S_{ik;jk} = \sum_{k=1}^{n} S_{ki;jk}.$$

By using Ricci identity

$$S_{ki;jk} = S_{ki;kj} + \sum_{m=1}^{n} S_{mk} R_{mijk} + \sum_{m=1}^{n} S_{mi} R_{mkjk},$$

and following a computation of Cheng and Yau, cf. [13], we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(\Delta S)_{ij} &= \sum_{k=1}^{n} S_{kk;ij} + \sum_{m,k=1}^{n} S_{mk}R_{mijk} + \sum_{m,k=1}^{n} S_{mi}R_{mkjk} \\
&= (\operatorname{tr} S)_{ij} + \sum_{m=1}^{n} S_{mi}\operatorname{Ric}_{mj} + \sum_{m,k=1}^{n} S_{mk}R_{mijk} \\
&= (\operatorname{tr} S)_{ij} + \sum_{m=1}^{n} S_{mi}\left(S_{mj} + \frac{R}{2(n-1)}\delta_{mj}\right) + \sum_{m,k=1}^{n} S_{mk}R_{mijk} \\
&= (\operatorname{tr} S)_{ij} + \sum_{m=1}^{n} S_{mi}S_{mj} + \frac{R}{2(n-1)}\sum_{m=1}^{n} S_{mi}\delta_{mj} + \sum_{m,k=1}^{n} S_{mk}R_{mijk} \\
&= (\operatorname{tr} S)_{ij} + (S^2)_{ij} + \frac{\operatorname{tr} S}{n-2}S_{ij} + \sum_{m,k=1}^{n} S_{mk}R_{mijk}.
\end{aligned}$$

We now choose an orthonormal frame such that $S_{ij} = \lambda_i\delta_{ij}$ at a point $p \in M$. Let $K(u,v)$ denote the sectional curvature of the plane generated by u, v. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
& 2\operatorname{Ric}(\nabla f, S(\nabla f)) + \operatorname{Hess}(\operatorname{tr} S)(\nabla f, \nabla f) - \langle(\Delta S)(\nabla f), \nabla f\rangle \\
= \;& 2\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(\lambda_i + \frac{R}{2(n-1)}\right)\lambda_i f_i^2 - \sum_{i=1}^{n}\lambda_i^2 f_i^2 - \frac{R}{2(n-1)}\sum_{i=1}^{n}\lambda_i f_i^2 \\
& - \sum_{i,j,k=1}^{n}\lambda_k R_{kijk} f_i f_j \\
= \;& \sum_{i=1}^{n}\lambda_i^2 f_i^2 + \frac{R}{2(n-1)}\sum_{i=1}^{n}\lambda_i f_i^2 + \sum_{k=1}^{n}\lambda_k K(e_k, \nabla f)[|\nabla f|^2 - \langle e_k, \nabla f\rangle^2] \\
\geq \;& \sum_{i=1}^{n}\lambda_i^2 f_i^2 + \frac{R}{2(n-1)}\sum_{i=1}^{n}\lambda_i f_i^2 + K_0\sum_{k=1}^{n}\lambda_k[|\nabla f|^2 - \langle e_k, \nabla f\rangle^2] \\
= \;& \sum_{i=1}^{n}\lambda_i^2 f_i^2 + \left(\frac{R}{2(n-1)} - K_0\right)\sum_{i=1}^{n}\lambda_i f_i^2 + \frac{n-2}{2(n-1)}K_0 R|\nabla f|^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Note that, if $K_0 < 0$, then $\frac{R}{2(n-1)} - K_0 > 0$, and if $K_0 > 0$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{R}{2(n-1)} - K_0 =& \frac{1}{2(n-1)}\sum_{i,j=1}^{n} K(e_i, e_j) - K_0 \\
\geq& \frac{n(n-1)}{2(n-1)}K_0 - K_0 \\
=& \left(\frac{n}{2} - 1\right)K_0 > 0.
\end{aligned}$$

It implies,

$$\begin{aligned}
&2\,\mathrm{Ric}(\nabla f, S(\nabla f)) + \mathrm{Hess}(\mathrm{tr}\, S)(\nabla f, \nabla f) - \langle(\Delta S)(\nabla f), \nabla f\rangle \\
&\qquad\geq \sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i^2 f_i^2 + \left(\frac{R}{2(n-1)} - K_0\right)\sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i f_i^2 + \frac{n-2}{2(n-1)} K_0 R |\nabla f|^2 \\
&\qquad\geq \left[\lambda_0^2 + \left(\frac{R}{2(n-1)} - K_0\right)\lambda_0 + \frac{n-2}{2(n-1)} K_0 R\right] |\nabla f|^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Then the claim follows from the definition of Γ.

Now we are ready to complete the proof of Theorem 3.1. Since $\Box_S f = -\mu f$, we have

$$\int_M \langle \nabla f, \nabla(\Box_S f)\rangle = -\mu \int_M |\nabla f|^2 dM \tag{3.4}$$

and, by using the Lemma 3.1,

$$2\int_M \mathrm{tr}(H_f^2 \circ S) dM \geq 2\int_M \frac{(\Box_S f)^2}{\mathrm{tr}\, S} dM \geq \frac{2\mu^2}{\overline{R}} \int_M f^2 dM, \tag{3.5}$$

where $\overline{R} = \max_M(\mathrm{tr}\, S) = \dfrac{n-2}{2(n-1)} \max_M R$.

Since, by using Divergence Theorem, $\displaystyle\int_M \mathrm{div}((H_f \circ S)(\nabla f)) dM = 0$, then replacing these estimates in the equation (3.3), p. 17, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{2}\int_M \Delta(\mathrm{tr}\, S)|\nabla f|^2 dM \geq & -2\mu \int_M |\nabla f|^2 dM + \int_M \mathrm{Hess}(\mathrm{tr}\, S)(\nabla f, \nabla f) dM \\
& - \frac{1}{2}\int_M \Delta(\mathrm{tr}\, S)|\nabla f|^2 dM + \frac{2\mu^2}{\overline{R}} \int_M f^2 dM + \Gamma \int_M |\nabla f|^2 dM,
\end{aligned}$$

i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned}
0 \geq & -2\mu \int_M |\nabla f|^2 dM + \int_M [\mathrm{Hess}(\mathrm{tr}\, S)(\nabla f, \nabla f) - \Delta(\mathrm{tr}\, S)|\nabla f|^2] dM \\
& + \frac{2\mu^2}{\overline{R}} \int_M f^2 dM + \Gamma \int_M |\nabla f|^2 dM.
\end{aligned}$$

Let $\sigma = \max\limits_{(p,v)\in TM} (\mathrm{tr}(\mathrm{Hess}\, R)|_{v^\perp})(p)$, where $v^\perp = \{u \in T_pM; \langle u, v\rangle = 0\}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_M [\mathrm{Hess}(\mathrm{tr}\, S)(\nabla f, \nabla f) - \Delta(\mathrm{tr}\, S)|\nabla f|^2] dM =& \frac{n-2}{2(n-1)} \int_M \left[\mathrm{Hess}\, R\left(\frac{\nabla f}{|\nabla f|}, \frac{\nabla f}{|\nabla f|}\right) - \Delta R\right] |\nabla f|^2 dM \\
=& -\frac{n-2}{2(n-1)} \int_M \mathrm{tr}(\mathrm{Hess}\, R)|_{\nabla f^\perp} |\nabla f|^2 dM \\
\geq & -\sigma \int_M |\nabla f|^2 dM.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$0 \geq (\Gamma - 2\mu - \sigma) \int_M |\nabla f|^2 dM + \frac{2\mu^2}{\overline{R}} \int_M f^2 dM. \tag{3.6}$$

By using Lemma 3.3, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_M |\nabla f|^2 dM &\leq \frac{1}{\lambda_0} \int_M \langle S(\nabla f), \nabla f \rangle dM \\ &= \frac{1}{\lambda_0} \int_M (\Delta(\operatorname{tr} S) + \mu) f^2 dM \\ &\leq \left(\frac{\mu + b}{\lambda_0} \right) \int_M f^2 dM. \end{aligned}$$

Then, by using inequality (3.6),

$$0 \geq (\Gamma - 2\mu - \sigma) \int_M |\nabla f|^2 dM + \frac{2\mu^2}{\overline{R}} \left(\frac{\lambda_0}{\mu + b} \right) \int_M |\nabla f|^2 dM,$$

i.e.,

$$(2\mu + \sigma - \Gamma) \left(\frac{\mu + b}{\lambda_0} \right) \geq \frac{2\mu^2}{\overline{R}}.$$

Solving this quadratic inequality we have the estimate in the theorem.

The estimate (3.2) follows because $b = \sigma = 0$ if R is constant.

To prove the equality case, we suppose $K_0 = 1$ and $M^n = \mathbb{S}^n$. In this case $S = \frac{n-2}{2} I$, $\square_S f = \frac{n-2}{2} \Delta f$ and $\Gamma = \frac{(n-2)(n-1)}{2}$. Then the estimate becomes equality. Conversely, if the equality holds, Lemma 3.1, p. 13, gives us that $H_f = \alpha I$. Following the proof of Obata Theorem step-by-step, cf. [21], we can see that M is a sphere. □

4 The estimate of the first eigenvalue of L_1

This section will give the proof of Theorem 1.3. We start with the following lemma.

Lemma 4.1. *Let $x : M^n \to \overline{M}^{n+1}(\kappa)$ be an isometric immersion of a n-dimensional Riemannian manifold M into a $(n+1)$-dimensional space form $\overline{M}$ of constant sectional curvature κ. Then*

$$2 \operatorname{Ric}(\nabla f, P_1(\nabla f)) - \langle (\Delta P_1)(\nabla f), \nabla f \rangle = \operatorname{Hess}(H)(\nabla f, \nabla f) - (\Delta H)|\nabla f|^2 + \langle Q(A)(\nabla f), \nabla f \rangle, \tag{4.1}$$

where $Q(A) = 2A^3 - 3HA^2 + (2H^2 - |A|^2 - \kappa(n-2))A + \kappa(2n-3)HI$.

Proof. By using Simons type equation, cf. [20], [25],

$$(\Delta A)(X) = \nabla_X \nabla H + (\kappa n - |A|^2) A(X) - \kappa H X + H A^2(X),$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned}-\langle(\Delta P_1)(\nabla f),\nabla f\rangle =&\langle(\Delta A-(\Delta H)I)(\nabla f),\nabla f\rangle\\ =&\langle(\Delta A)(\nabla f),\nabla f\rangle-\Delta H|\nabla f|^2\\ =&\operatorname{Hess}(H)(\nabla f,\nabla f)+(\kappa n-|A|^2)\langle A(\nabla f),\nabla f\rangle\\ &+H\langle A^2(\nabla f),\nabla f\rangle-\kappa H|\nabla f|^2-\Delta H|\nabla f|^2.\end{aligned}$$

By using Gauss equation

$$\begin{aligned}\langle R(X,Y)Z,T\rangle =& \kappa(\langle X,Z\rangle\langle Y,T\rangle-\langle Y,Z\rangle\langle X,T\rangle)\\ &+\langle A(X),Z\rangle\langle A(Y),T\rangle-\langle A(Y),Z\rangle\langle A(X),T\rangle,\end{aligned}$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned}\langle R(\nabla f,e_i)P_1(\nabla f),e_i\rangle =& \kappa(\langle\nabla f,P_1(\nabla f)\rangle\langle e_i,e_i\rangle-\langle e_i,P_1(\nabla f)\rangle\langle\nabla f,e_i\rangle)\\ &+\langle A(\nabla f),P_1(\nabla f)\rangle\langle A(e_i),e_i\rangle-\langle A(e_i),P_1(\nabla f)\rangle\langle A(\nabla f),e_i\rangle.\end{aligned}$$

After tracing, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}2\operatorname{Ric}(\nabla f,P_1(\nabla f)) &= 2\kappa(n-1)\langle\nabla f,P_1(\nabla f)\rangle+2H\langle A(\nabla f),P_1(\nabla f)\rangle-2\langle A^2(\nabla f),P_1(\nabla f)\rangle\\ &= 2\kappa(n-1)\langle\nabla f,(HI-A)(\nabla f)\rangle+2H\langle A(\nabla f),(HI-A)(\nabla f)\rangle\\ &\quad-2\langle A^2(\nabla f),(HI-A)(\nabla f)\rangle\\ &= 2\kappa(n-1)H|\nabla f|^2+(2H^2-2\kappa(n-1))\langle A(\nabla f),\nabla f\rangle\\ &\quad-4H\langle A^2(\nabla f),\nabla f\rangle+2\langle A^3(\nabla f),\nabla f\rangle.\end{aligned}$$

Then

$$2\operatorname{Ric}(\nabla f,P_1(\nabla f))-\langle(\Delta P_1)(\nabla f),\nabla f\rangle=\operatorname{Hess}(H)(\nabla f,\nabla f)-(\Delta H)|\nabla f|^2+\langle Q(A)(\nabla f),\nabla f\rangle.$$

□

Next lemma is a local estimate for $Q(A)$.

Lemma 4.2. *If* $0<\alpha I\le A\le a\alpha I$ *and* $\kappa>0$, *then*

$$\langle Q(A)(X),X\rangle\ge\left[2(n-1)\alpha^3(n-a^2)+2\kappa(n-1)^2\alpha\right]|X|^2,$$

and if $\kappa\le 0$, *then*

$$\langle Q(A)(X),X\rangle\ge\left[2(n-1)\alpha^3(n-a^2)+2\kappa(n-1)^2a\alpha\right]|X|^2,$$

for any $X\in TM$.

Proof. Let $\{e_1,\dots,e_n\}$ be an orthonormal base of eigenvectors of the shape operator A, and $h_1,h_2,\dots,h_n$ be its eigenvalues. For $\kappa\geq 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle Q(A)(e_i),e_i\rangle &= 2h_i^3-3Hh_i^2+(2H^2-|A|^2)h_i-\kappa(n-2)h_i+\kappa(2n-3)H\\
&= 2h_i^3-3h_i^2(h_i+H-h_i)+2(H^2-|A|^2)h_i+|A|^2h_i\\
&\qquad+\kappa[(n-2)(H-h_i)+(n-1)H]\\
&= (|A|^2-h_i^2)h_i+2S_2h_i-3h_i^2(H-h_i)+\kappa[(n-2)(H-h_i)+(n-1)H]\\
&\geq h_i\left[2S_2+2h_i^2+\sum_{j=1}^n h_j^2-\sum_{j=1}^n(h_i^2+h_j^2)-\sum_{j=1}^n h_ih_j\right]\\
&\qquad+\kappa[(n-2)(H-h_i)+(n-1)H] \qquad (4.2)\\
&= h_i\left[2S_2-(n-1)h_i^2-h_i(H-h_i)\right]\\
&\qquad+\kappa[(n-2)(H-h_i)+(n-1)H]\\
&\geq 2\alpha[S_2-(n-1)a^2\alpha^2]+\kappa[(n-2)(n-1)\alpha+(n-1)n\alpha]\\
&\geq 2\alpha[S_2-(n-1)a^2\alpha^2]+2\kappa(n-1)^2\alpha\\
&\geq 2\alpha(n(n-1)\alpha^2-(n-1)a^2\alpha^2)+2\kappa(n-1)^2\alpha\\
&= 2(n-1)\alpha^3(n-a^2)+2\kappa(n-1)^2\alpha.
\end{aligned}$$

and if $\kappa<0$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle Q(A)(e_i),e_i\rangle &\geq 2\alpha[S_2-(n-1)a^2\alpha^2]+2\kappa(n-1)^2\alpha\\
&\geq 2(n-1)\alpha^3(n-a^2)+2\kappa(n-1)^2a\alpha.
\end{aligned}\qquad (4.3)$$

□

Now we are ready to prove Theorem 1.3.

Proof of Theorem 1.3. Applying the formula of Theorem 1.1 to the operator L_1 we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{2}L_1|\nabla f|^2 =&\langle\nabla f,\nabla(L_1f)\rangle+\langle P_1(\nabla f),\nabla(\Delta f)\rangle+2\operatorname{tr}(H_f^2\circ P_1)\\
&+2\operatorname{Ric}(\nabla f,P_1(\nabla f))-\langle(\Delta P_1)(\nabla f),\nabla f\rangle-\operatorname{div}((H_f\circ P_1)(\nabla f)).
\end{aligned}\qquad (4.4)$$

Integrating this formula and, by using Divergence Theorem and the fact that L_1 is divergence free, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
0=&\int_M\langle\nabla f,\nabla(L_1f)\rangle dM+\int_M\langle P_1(\nabla f),\nabla(\Delta f)\rangle dM+\int_M 2\operatorname{tr}(H_f^2\circ P_1)dM\\
&+\int_M[2\operatorname{Ric}(\nabla f,P_1(\nabla f))dM-\langle(\Delta P_1)(\nabla f),\nabla f\rangle]dM.
\end{aligned}\qquad (4.5)$$

Let us estimate each of these integrals. The three first integrals in expression (4.5) have canonical estimates, as follows. Since $L_1 f = -\mu f$ we have

$$\int_M \langle \nabla f, \nabla(L_1 f)\rangle dM = -\mu \int_M |\nabla f|^2 dM.$$

By using Divergence Theorem in the expression

$$\begin{aligned}\operatorname{div}(\Delta f P_1(\nabla f)) =& \Delta f \operatorname{div}(P_1(\nabla f)) + \langle P_1(\nabla f), \nabla(\Delta f)\rangle \\ =& \Delta f \cdot L_1 f + \langle P_1(\nabla f), \nabla(\Delta f)\rangle,\end{aligned}$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\int_M \langle P_1(\nabla f), \nabla(\Delta f)\rangle dM =& -\int_M \Delta f \cdot L_1 f dM \\ =& \mu \int_M f \Delta f dM \\ =& -\mu \int_M |\nabla f|^2 dM.\end{aligned}$$

Applying Lemma 3.1, p. 13, we obtain

$$2\int_M \operatorname{tr}(H_f^2 \circ P_1) dM \geq 2\int_M \frac{(L_1 f)^2}{(n-1)H} dM \geq \frac{2\mu^2}{n(n-1)a\alpha} \int_M f^2 dM,$$

where we have used that $\operatorname{tr} P_1 = (n-1)H$. To estimate the last integral, we claim that, for $\kappa \geq 0$,

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_M [2\operatorname{Ric}(\nabla f, P_1(\nabla f))dM - \langle(\Delta P_1)(\nabla f), \nabla f\rangle] dM \\ &\qquad \geq [2\alpha^3(n-1)(n-a^2) + 2\kappa\alpha(n-1)^2 - \sigma] \int_M |\nabla f|^2 dM\end{aligned}$$

and for $\kappa < 0$,

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_M [2\operatorname{Ric}(\nabla f, P_1(\nabla f))dM - \langle(\Delta P_1)(\nabla f), \nabla f\rangle] dM \\ &\qquad \geq [2\alpha^3(n-1)(n-a^2) + 2\kappa a\alpha(n-1)^2 - \sigma] \int_M |\nabla f|^2 dM,\end{aligned}$$

where $\sigma = \max_{(p,v)\in TM} (\operatorname{tr}(\operatorname{Hess} H)|_{v^\perp}(p))$, $v^\perp = \{u \in T_pM; \langle u, v\rangle = 0\}$. In fact, by using Lemma 4.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\int_M [2\operatorname{Ric}(\nabla f, P_1(\nabla f)) - \langle(\Delta P_1)(\nabla f), \nabla f\rangle] dM =& \int_M \left[\operatorname{Hess}(H)(\nabla f, \nabla f) - (\Delta H)|\nabla f|^2\right] dM \\ &+ \int_M \langle Q(A)(\nabla f), \nabla f\rangle dM.\end{aligned}$$

By using Lemma 4.2, we have

$$\int_M \langle Q(A)(\nabla f), \nabla f\rangle dM \geq [2(n-1)\alpha^3(n-a^2) + 2\kappa(n-1)^2\alpha] \int_M |\nabla f|^2 dM$$

for $\kappa > 0$, and

$$\int_M \langle Q(A)(\nabla f), \nabla f\rangle dM \geq [2(n-1)\alpha^3(n-a^2) + 2\kappa(n-1)^2 a\alpha] \int_M |\nabla f|^2 dM$$

for $\kappa \leq 0$. On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned}\int_M (\mathrm{Hess}(H)(\nabla f, \nabla f) - (\Delta H)|\nabla f|^2) dM =& \int_M \left[\mathrm{Hess}(H)\left(\frac{\nabla f}{|\nabla f|}, \frac{\nabla f}{|\nabla f|}\right) - (\Delta H)\right] |\nabla f|^2 dM \\ \geq& -\sigma \int_M |\nabla f|^2 dM.\end{aligned}$$

Replacing these estimates in expression (4.5), we obtain, for $\kappa > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned}0 \geq& -2\mu \int_M |\nabla f|^2 dM - \sigma \int_M |\nabla f|^2 dM + \frac{2\mu^2}{n(n-1)a\alpha} \int_M f^2 dM \\ &+ \overbrace{[2(n-1)\alpha^3(n-a^2) + 2\kappa(n-1)^2\alpha]}^{C} \int_M |\nabla f|^2 dM,\end{aligned}$$

and an analogous expression for $\kappa \leq 0$. Note that

$$(n-1)\alpha|\nabla f|^2 \leq \langle P_1(\nabla f), \nabla f\rangle \leq (n-1)a\alpha|\nabla f|^2.$$

Since $\frac{1}{2}L_1(f^2) = fL_1 f + \langle \nabla f, P_1(\nabla f)\rangle$, by using Divergence Theorem, we have

$$\int_M \langle \nabla f, P_1(\nabla f)\rangle dM = -\int_M f L_1 f dM = \mu \int_M f^2 dM.$$

It implies

$$\int_M f^2 dM \geq \frac{(n-1)\alpha}{\mu} \int_M |\nabla f|^2 dM.$$

Then,

$$0 \geq (-2\mu - \sigma + C) \int_M |\nabla f|^2 dM + \frac{2\mu}{na} \int_M |\nabla f|^2 dM,$$

i.e.,

$$-2\mu + \frac{2\mu}{na} - \sigma + C \leq 0.$$

Therefore,

$$\mu \geq \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{na}{na-1}\right)\left[2(n-1)\alpha^3(n-a^2) + 2\kappa(n-1)^2\alpha - \sigma\right],$$

for $\kappa > 0$, and

$$\mu \geq \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{na}{na-1}\right)\left[2(n-1)\alpha^3(n-a^2)+2\kappa(n-1)^2a\alpha-\sigma\right],$$

for $\kappa \leq 0$. Now, consider the case of the canonical immersion of a geodesic sphere $x : \mathbb{S}^n(\alpha) \to \overline{M}^{n+1}(\kappa)$. In this case we have $A = \alpha I$, $a = 1$ and $L_1 f = n(n-1)\alpha\Delta f$. Since $\mu(L_1, M) = n(n-1)\alpha[\alpha^2+\kappa]$ then, replacing these data in the estimate, the inequality becomes equality and the estimate is sharp. On the other hand, if the equality holds, the equality case of Lemma 3.1, p. 13 implies that $H_f = cI$, for some real constant c, and following proof of Obata Theorem, cf. [21], we can conclude that M is a geodesic sphere. ☐

5 Appendix

In this appendix we prove the Proposition mentioned in the introduction which gives examples of tensor ϕ, we refer to [11] for more related discussions.

Proposition 5.1. *Let M be a Riemannian manifold.*

(1) If M has constant scalar curvature and c is a real constant, then the linear operator $S_c := \mathrm{Ric} - cI$ satisfies $\operatorname{div} S_c \equiv 0$;

(2) The Einstein operator $E := \frac{1}{2}RI - \mathrm{Ric}$ *satisfies* $\operatorname{div} E = 0$;

(3) If M is an immersed hypersurface in an Einstein manifold, then the Newton transformation P_1 satisfies $\operatorname{div} P_1 \equiv 0$;

(4) If M is an immersed hypersurface in an space form of constant sectional curvature, then the Newton transformation P_r satisfies $\operatorname{div} P_r \equiv 0$;

(5) The Shouten operator S satisfies $\operatorname{div} S = \nabla(\operatorname{tr} S)$;

(6) If M is locally conformally flat, then the Newton transformations $T_k(S) = T_k$ associated with S, $1 \leq k \leq n$, satisfies $\operatorname{div} T_k(S) \equiv 0$.

Proof. It is well known, cf. [22], p. 39, and [13], p. 197, that

$$\operatorname{div}(\mathrm{Ric}) = \frac{1}{2}dR.$$

If M has constant scalar curvature, then $\operatorname{div}(\mathrm{Ric}) = 0$, which implies that $\operatorname{div}(S_c) = 0$, since c is constant. Claim (2) follows from

$$\operatorname{div} E = \operatorname{div}(\mathrm{Ric}) - \frac{1}{2}\operatorname{div}(RI) = \frac{1}{2}dR - \frac{1}{2}dR = 0.$$

The proof of claim (3) is simple and follows from well known identity

$$\operatorname{div}(A) = dH,$$

which holds for hypersurfaces immersed in an Einstein manifold, (see [15], for a proof). We have

$$\operatorname{div}(P_1) = \operatorname{div}(HI) - \operatorname{div}(A) = dH - \operatorname{div}(A) = 0.$$

The proof of claim (4) can be found in [23] or [24]. To prove claim (5), we can use the identity $\sum_{j=1}^{n} \mathrm{Ric}_{ij;j} = \frac{1}{2}R_i$, to obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{j=1}^{n} S_{ij;j} &= \sum_{j=1}^{n} \left(\mathrm{Ric}_{ij;j} - \frac{R}{2(n-1)}\delta_{ij}\right)_j \\
&= \sum_{j=1}^{n} \mathrm{Ric}_{ij;j} - \sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{R_j}{2(n-1)}\delta_{ij} \\
&= \frac{1}{2}R_i - \frac{R_i}{2(n-1)} \\
&= \frac{n-2}{2(n-1)}R_i \\
&= (\operatorname{tr} S)_i,
\end{aligned} \tag{5.1}$$

i.e.,

$$\operatorname{div} S = \nabla(\operatorname{tr} S).$$

Claim (5) was proved by Viaclovsky, and can be found in [27]. □

Now, we prove some properties of operator □ mentioned along the paper. Following the ideas of [13], Proposition 1, we have

Proposition 5.2. *Let $\phi : TM \to TM$ be a linear operator and $f : M \to \mathbb{R}$ be a smooth function. Then*

$$\Box f = \operatorname{div}(\phi(\nabla f)) - \sum_i \left(\sum_j \phi_{ij;j}\right) f_i. \tag{5.2}$$

Proof. Indeed, at any point $p \in M$, we choose an orthonormal base near p and geodesic at p, then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\Box f &= \sum_{i,j} \phi_{ij} f_{ij} = \sum_{i,j} [(\phi_{ij} f_i)_j - \phi_{ij;j} f_i] \\
&= \sum_j \left(\sum_i \phi_{ij} f_i \right)_j - \sum_i \left(\sum_j \phi_{ij;j} \right) f_i \\
&= \sum_j e_j \langle \phi(\nabla f), e_j \rangle - \sum_i \left(\sum_j \phi_{ij;j} \right) f_i \\
&= \operatorname{div}(\phi(\nabla f)) - \sum_i \left(\sum_j \phi_{ij;j} \right) f_i .
\end{aligned}$$

□

By using the definition of divergence of ϕ, we can rewrite the expression of Proposition 5.2 as

$$\Box f = \operatorname{div}(\phi(\nabla f)) - (\operatorname{div}\phi)(\nabla f) = \operatorname{div}(\phi(\nabla f)) - \langle \operatorname{div}\phi, \nabla f \rangle. \tag{5.3}$$

Proposition 5.3. *A linear operator ϕ is divergence free if and only if*

$$\operatorname{tr}(u \mapsto \phi(\nabla_u v)) = \operatorname{tr}(u \mapsto \nabla_u \phi(v)), \quad \forall v \in TM.$$

Equivalently,

$$\operatorname{tr}(X \mapsto (\nabla_X \phi) v) = 0, \quad \forall v \in TM.$$

Proof. Let $\{e_1, \ldots, e_n\}$ be an orthonormal frame near $p \in M$ which is geodesic in $p \in M$. If $v = \sum_{j=1}^{n} v^j e_j$, then

$$\operatorname{tr}(u \mapsto \phi(\nabla_u v)) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \langle e_i, \phi(\nabla_{e_i} v) \rangle = \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} \langle e_i, \phi(e_i(v^j) e_j) \rangle = \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} v^j_i \phi_{ji}.$$

From

$$\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{tr}(u \mapsto \nabla_u \phi(v)) &= \sum_{i=1}^{n} \langle e_i, \nabla_{e_i} \phi(v) \rangle \\
&= \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} \langle e_i, \nabla_{e_i} (v_j \phi(e_j)) \rangle \\
&= \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} v^j \langle e_i, \nabla_{e_i} \phi(e_j) \rangle + v^j_i \langle e_i, \phi(e_j) \rangle \\
&= \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} (v^j \phi_{ji;i} + v^j_i \phi_{ji}),
\end{aligned}$$

we have

$$\operatorname{tr}(u \mapsto \phi(\nabla_u v)) = \operatorname{tr}(u \mapsto \nabla_u \phi(v)), \forall v \in T_pM \Leftrightarrow \sum_{i=1}^{n} \phi_{ji;i} = 0.$$

□

References

[1] Hilário Alencar, Manfredo do Carmo, and Maria Fernanda Elbert, *Stability of hypersurfaces with vanishing r-mean curvatures in Euclidean spaces*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **554** (2003), 201–216, DOI 10.1515/crll.2003.006. MR1952173 (2003k:53061)

[2] Hilário Alencar, Manfredo do Carmo, and Walcy Santos, *A gap theorem for hypersurfaces of the sphere with constant scalar curvature one*, Comment. Math. Helv. **77** (2002), no. 3, 549–562, DOI 10.1007/s00014-002-8351-1. MR1933789 (2003m:53098)

[3] Hilário Alencar, Harold Rosenberg, and Walcy Santos, *On the Gauss map of hypersurfaces with constant scalar curvature in spheres*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **132** (2004), no. 12, 3731–3739 (electronic), DOI 10.1090/S0002-9939-04-07493-3. MR2084098 (2005h:53099)

[4] Hilário Alencar, Walcy Santos, and Detang Zhou, *Stable hypersurfaces with constant scalar curvature*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **138** (2010), no. 9, 3301–3312, DOI 10.1090/S0002-9939-10-10388-8. MR2653960 (2011m:53095)

[5] Alexander Alexandrov, *Die innere Geometrie der konvexen Flachen*, in Russian. German translation: Akademie, Berlin (1955).

[6] Luis J. Alías and J. Miguel Malacarne, *On the first eigenvalue of the linearized operator of the higher order mean curvature for closed hypersurfaces in space forms*, Illinois J. Math. **48** (2004), no. 1, 219–240. MR2048223 (2005b:53093)

[7] João Lucas Marques Barbosa and Antônio Gervásio Colares, *Stability of hypersurfaces with constant r-mean curvature*, Ann. Global Anal. Geom. **15** (1997), no. 3, 277–297, DOI 10.1023/A:1006514303828. MR1456513 (98h:53091)

[8] Arthur L. Besse, *Einstein manifolds*, Classics in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2008. Reprint of the 1987 edition. MR2371700 (2008k:53084)

[9] Huai Dong Cao and Qiang Chen, *On Bach-flat gradient shrinking Ricci solitons*, Duke Math. Jour. (to appear in print).

[10] Qing-Ming Cheng, *Compact locally conformally flat Riemannian manifolds*, Bull. London Math. Soc. **33** (2001), no. 4, 459–465, DOI 10.1017/S0024609301008074. MR1832558 (2002g:53045)

[11] Xu Cheng, *An almost-Schur type lemma for symmetric $(2,0)$ tensors and applications*, Pacific Jour. of Math.(to appear) **arXiv:1208.2152 [math.DG]** (2012).

[12] Xu Cheng and Detang Zhou, *Rigidity for closed totally umbilical hypersurfaces in space forms*, Journal of Geometric Analysis, posted on 2012, DOI 10.1007/s12220-012-9375-4, (to appear in print).

[13] Shiu Yuen Cheng and Shing Tung Yau, *Hypersurfaces with constant scalar curvature*, Math. Ann. **225** (1977), no. 3, 195–204. MR0431043 (55 #4045)

[14] M. P. do Carmo and F. W. Warner, *Rigidity and convexity of hypersurfaces in spheres*, J. Differential Geometry **4** (1970), 133–144. MR0266105 (42 #1014)

[15] Maria Fernanda Elbert, *Constant positive 2-mean curvature hypersurfaces*, Illinois J. Math. **46** (2002), no. 1, 247–267. MR1936088 (2003g:53103)

[16] David Gilbarg and Neil S. Trudinger, *Elliptic partial differential equations of second order*, Classics in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2001. Reprint of the 1998 edition. MR1814364 (2001k:35004)

[17] Jaques Hadamard, *Sur certaines proprits des trajectories en dynamique*, J. Math. Pures Appl. **3** (1897), 331-387.

[18] André Lichnerowicz, *Géométrie des groupes de transformations*, Travaux et Recherches Mathématiques, III. Dunod, Paris, 1958 (French). MR0124009 (23 #A1329)

[19] Sebastián Montiel and Antonio Ros, *Compact hypersurfaces: the Alexandrov theorem for higher order mean curvatures*, Differential geometry, Pitman Monogr. Surveys Pure Appl. Math., vol. 52, Longman Sci. Tech., Harlow, 1991, pp. 279–296. MR1173047 (93h:53062)

[20] Katsumi Nomizu and Brian Smyth, *A formula of Simons' type and hypersurfaces with constant mean curvature*, J. Differential Geometry **3** (1969), 367–377. MR0266109 (42 #1018)

[21] Morio Obata, *Certain conditions for a Riemannian manifold to be isometric with a sphere*, J. Math. Soc. Japan **14** (1962), 333–340. MR0142086 (25 #5479)

[22] Peter Petersen, *Riemannian geometry*, 2nd ed., Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 171, Springer, New York, 2006. MR2243772 (2007a:53001)

[23] Robert C. Reilly, *Variational properties of functions of the mean curvatures for hypersurfaces in space forms*, J. Differential Geometry **8** (1973), 465–477. MR0341351 (49 #6102)

[24] Harold Rosenberg, *Hypersurfaces of constant curvature in space forms*, Bull. Sci. Math. **117** (1993), no. 2, 211–239. MR1216008 (94b:53097)

[25] James Simons, *Minimal varieties in Riemannian manifolds*, Ann. of Math. (2) **88** (1968), 62–105. MR0233295 (38 #1617)

[26] Gang Tian and Jeff Viaclovsky, *Bach-flat asymptotically locally Euclidean metrics*, Invent. Math. **160** (2005), no. 2, 357–415, DOI 10.1007/s00222-004-0412-1. MR2138071 (2006b:53050)

[27] Jeff A. Viaclovsky, *Conformal geometry, contact geometry, and the calculus of variations*, Duke Math. J. **101** (2000), no. 2, 283–316, DOI 10.1215/S0012-7094-00-10127-5. MR1738176 (2001b:53038)

[28] K. Voss, *Einige differentialgeometrische Kongruenzsätze für geschlossene Flächen und Hyperflächen*, Math. Ann. **131** (1956), 180–218 (German). MR0080327 (18,229f)

H. Alencar
Instituto de Matemática
Universidade Federal de Alagoas
57072-900, Maceió, Brazil
hilario@mat.ufal.br

G. Silva Neto
Instituto de Matemática
Universidade Federal de Alagoas
57072-900, Maceió, Brazil
gregorio@im.ufal.br

D. Zhou
Instituto de Matemática
Universidade Federal Fluminense
24020-140, Niterói, Brazil
zhou@impa.br